

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/601,106	09/15/2000	Colin Anthony Kemp	T2328-906561	5597
7:	590 04/21/2003			
Dennis P Clarke			EXAMINER	
Suite 500 1751 Pinnacle Drive			CHOI, FRANK I	
McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	IH

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/601,106	KEMP, COLIN ANTHONY		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Frank I Choi	1616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A	pril 2003 .			
2a)□	·	s action is non-final.			
3)	, <u> </u>				
Disposition of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 24-29 is/are pending in the application	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/601,106

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of the prior Office Action is withdrawn. Examiner has duly considered Applicant's arguments but deems them moot in light of the new grounds of rejection herein.

Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not). Misnumbered claims 7-13 (there was no claim 6) were renumbered as claims 6-12. These claims were canceled and a new set of claims were added. These claims were misnumbered as claims 14-18, 20-25 (there was no claim 19) and were renumbered as claims 13-23. Now Applicant cancels all of the claims in the application and submits new misnumbered claims 26-31. These claims are renumbered as claims 24-29.

Examiner notes that in the prior Office Action the body of the Office Action mistakenly indicated that the prior set of claims were renumbered 13-25. However, the Office Action Summary correctly indicated that the pending claims were claims 13-23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101/112

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,106

Art Unit: 1616

Claim 28 is ambiguous because it claims in the same claim a composition and method. See Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ2d 1548, 1551,1552 (BdPatApp&Int 1990). Furthermore, claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as said section requires that a product and process be separately claimed. *Id*.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 28 recites the limitation "method". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim as the claim is dependent on a composition or product claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP 61286327 (Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/601,106

Art Unit: 1616

JP 61286327 expressly discloses an ointment containing nitroglycerin 10, lactose 90, 25% H2O-containing lanolin 600 and white Vaseline® to 1000 g falling within the scope of applicant's claims.

Alternatively, at the very least the claimed invention is rendered obvious within the meaning of 35 USC 103, because the prior art discloses products and uses that contain the same exact ingredients/components as that of the claimed invention. See In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See also In re May, 197 USPQ 601, 607 (CCPA 1978).

Claims 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 61286327 (Abstract) in view of Heaton et al..

JP 61286327 teaches that an ointment containing nitroglycerin 10, lactose 90, 25% H2O-containing lanolin 600 and white Vaseline® to 1000 g which is effective in accelerating peripheral blood circulation.

Heaton et al. teach that nitroglycerin applied topically is effective in dilating arterial blood vessels and treating impotence (See entire document).

The difference between the prior art and the claimed invention is that the prior art does not expressly disclose a composition or produce consisting essentially of 10 wt% glyceryl trinitrate (10% in lactose), 44 wt% lanolin, 21 wt% white soft paraffin B.P. and 25 wt% demineralized water. However, the prior art suggests the same as topical compositions containing nitroglycerin, lactose, lanolin, white Vaseline ® and water are known in the art and nitroglycerin is known to be used topically to treat impotence. As such, it would have well within the skill of and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the prior art as above depending on the amount of nitroglycerin desired for effective treatment of impotence and the desired consistency of the ointment base.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,106

Art Unit: 1616

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

Conclusion

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machines are (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (703) 308-0067. Examiner maintains a flexible schedule. However, Examiner may generally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:00 am -5:30 pm (EST), except the first Friday of the each biweek which is Examiner's normally scheduled day off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. José Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (703) 308-1235 and (703) 308-0198, respectively.

FIC

April 15, 2003

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1000

Mah